

A short note on Hardy-Rogers contractive conditions

Esra Yolacan

Cappadocia University, School of Applied Sciences
Department of Airframe And Powerplant Maintenance
Mustafapaşa Campus
50420 - Mustafapaşa, Ürgüp / Nevşehir-Türkiye
Email: yolacanesra@gmail.com

(Received: October 30, 2022 Accepted: December 18, 2022)

Abstract

In this writing, we give some theorem in metric space under cyclic coupled Hardy-Rogers contractive conditions. Also, we offer a different perspective on this condition in metric space involving a graph.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Banach (1922) [1] established a noted fixed point theorem (viz., Banach Contraction Principle (or, in short, BCP) which is the most noteworthy results of nonlinear analysis and declared the main source of metric fixed point theory. Later, in 1973, Hardy and Rogers [2] investigated some fixed point theorems to improve and generalize the BCP. Since then, a great number of researchers have utilized various types of Hardy-Rogers contractive to attain fixed point results. Kirk et al. (2003) [3] established the concepts of cyclic maps in a complete metric space (or, in short, CMS), also introduced some fixed point theorems in Banach space.

Definition 1.1. [3] *Let $N \neq \emptyset \subseteq W$, $M \neq \emptyset \subseteq W$. A map $f : W \rightarrow W$ is a cyclic (w.r.t. N and M) if $N \supseteq f(M)$ and $M \supseteq f(N)$.*

Keywords and phrases: Edge-preserving; Cyclic coupled; Graph.

2020 AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

For $T : W \times W \rightarrow W$, the conception of a coupled fixed point $(a, b) \in W \times W$ such that $T(a, b) = a$, $T(b, a) = b$ was initially presented by Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [4]. Furthermore, the existence and uniqueness of a coupled fixed point for such a map provides the mixed monotone property on a partially ordered metric space were attempted. Hereat, several of papers in this subject have been dedicated to extensions and generalizations; see, for instance, [5]- [13]] and the references therein.

Lately, Choudhury and Maity [14] defined notion of cyclic coupled Kannan type contraction, also gave some fixed point theorem in CMS.

Definition 1.2. [14] (i) Let $W \neq \emptyset$. An element $(a, a) \in W \times W$ is called to be strong coupled fixed point if $a = T(a, a)$.

(ii) Let $N \neq \emptyset \subseteq W$ and $M \neq \emptyset \subseteq W$. We say any function $T : W \times W \rightarrow W$ such that $T(a, b) \in M$ if $a \in N$, $b \in M$ and $T(a, b) \in N$ if $a \in M$, $b \in N$ a cyclic mapping w.r.t. N, M .

Udo-utun [15] instantly extended the work of [14] for Ciric-Type mappings. Thereafter, best proximity consequences for generalized cyclic coupled maps were first deduced by Kadwin and Marudai [16]. Erelong, Ansari et al. [17] gave improvement and generalization results established in [16] under C -class functions.

Inspired and motivated these facts, we propose a new concept, cyclic coupled Hardy-Rogers contractive conditions. We search the existence of strong coupled fixed point for such a map that enable us to generalize many well-known deductions in the litterateur. More precisely, our main results improve and unify the several fixed point results in CMS. Besides, we consider an example to illustrate our result. After all, we offer a different perspective on this condition in metric space involving a graph.

2 Fixed Point Theorem

Definition 2.1. (Cyclic coupled Hardy-Rogers contractive condition) Let $N \neq \emptyset \subseteq W$ and $M \neq \emptyset \subseteq W$. We call a map $T : W \times W \rightarrow W$ a cyclic coupled Hardy-Rogers contractive condition w.r.t. N and M if T is cyclic w.r.t. N and M satisfying, for $a, a' \in N$, $b, b' \in M$, the following

condition

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\left(T(a, b), T(b', a')\right) + d\left(T(b, a), T(a', b')\right) \\
\leq & \gamma_1 [d(T(a, b), a) + d(T(b, a), b)] \\
& + \gamma_2 [d(T(b', a'), b') + d(T(a', b'), a')] \\
& + \gamma_3 [d(T(b', a'), a) + d(T(a', b'), b)] \\
& + \gamma_4 [d(T(a, b), b') + d(T(b, a), a')] \\
& + \gamma_5 [d(a, b') + d(b, a')] \tag{2.1}
\end{aligned}$$

owns, here $i = \overline{1, 5}$; $\gamma_i \in R^+$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^5 \gamma < 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $N \neq \emptyset$, $M \neq \emptyset$ be two closed subsets of $CMS(W, d)$. Let $T : W \times W \rightarrow W$ a cyclic coupled Hardy-Rogers contractive condition w.r.t. N and M and $N \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Then T holds a strong coupled fixed point in $N \cap M$.*

Proof. Let $a_0 \in N$, $b_0 \in M$. We construct sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ as follows

$$T(b_n, a_n) = a_{n+1}, T(a_n, b_n) = b_{n+1} \text{ for } \forall n \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\vartheta_n = d(a_{n-1}, b_n) + d(b_{n-1}, a_n)$. We have to prove that $\vartheta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By (2.1), (2.2), we hold

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(a_n, b_{n+1}) + d(b_n, a_{n+1}) \\
\leq & \gamma_1 [d(T(b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}), b_{n-1}) + d(T(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}), a_{n-1})] \\
& + \gamma_2 [d(T(a_n, b_n), a_n) + d(T(b_n, a_n), b_n)] \\
& + \gamma_3 [d(T(a_n, b_n), b_{n-1}) + d(T(b_n, a_n), a_{n-1})] \\
& + \gamma_4 [d(T(b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}), a_n) + d(T(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}), b_n)] \\
& + \gamma_5 [d(b_{n-1}, a_n) + d(a_{n-1}, b_n)] \\
\leq & \gamma_1 [d(a_n, b_{n-1}) + d(b_n, a_{n-1})] \\
& + \gamma_2 [d(b_{n+1}, a_n) + d(a_{n+1}, b_n)] \\
& + \gamma_3 [d(b_{n+1}, a_n) + d(a_n, b_{n-1}) + d(a_{n+1}, b_n) + d(b_n, a_{n-1})] \\
& + \gamma_5 [d(b_{n-1}, a_n) + d(a_{n-1}, b_n)], \tag{2.3}
\end{aligned}$$

or,

$$\vartheta_{n+1} \leq \gamma_1 \vartheta_n + \gamma_2 \vartheta_{n+1} + \gamma_3 (\vartheta_n + \vartheta_{n+1}) + \gamma_5 \vartheta_n, \quad (2.4)$$

equivalently,

$$\vartheta_{n+1} \leq \xi \vartheta_n, \quad (2.5)$$

where, $\xi = \{\gamma_1 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_5\} / 1 - \{\gamma_2 + \gamma_3\}$. Repeating the aforementioned procedure, we enjoy

$$\vartheta_{n+1} \leq \xi \vartheta_n \leq \xi^2 \vartheta_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \xi^{n+1} \vartheta_0, \quad (2.6)$$

where, by hypothesis about coefficients $\gamma_i, i = \overline{1, 5}, 0 \leq \xi < 1$; herefrom,

$$\vartheta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, a_n) \\ \leq & \gamma_1 [d(T(b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}), b_{n-1}) + d(T(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}), a_{n-1})] \\ & + \gamma_2 [d(T(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}), a_{n-1}) + d(T(b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}), b_{n-1})] \\ & + \gamma_3 [d(T(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}), b_{n-1}) + d(T(b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}), a_{n-1})] \\ & + \gamma_4 [d(T(b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}), a_{n-1}) + d(T(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}), b_{n-1})] \\ & + \gamma_5 [d(b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}) + d(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})] \\ \leq & \gamma_1 [d(a_n, b_{n-1}) + d(b_n, a_{n-1})] + \gamma_2 [d(b_n, a_{n-1}) + d(a_n, b_{n-1})] \\ & + \gamma_3 [d(b_n, a_n) + d(a_n, b_{n-1}) + d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, a_{n-1})] \\ & + \gamma_4 [d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, a_{n-1}) + d(b_n, a_n) + d(a_n, b_{n-1})] \\ & + \gamma_5 \left[\begin{array}{l} d(b_{n-1}, a_n) + d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, a_{n-1}) \\ + d(a_{n-1}, b_n) + d(b_n, a_n) + d(a_n, b_{n-1}) \end{array} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

or,

$$\kappa \vartheta_n \geq d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, a_n), \quad (2.9)$$

where, $\kappa = \{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + 2\gamma_5\} / \{1 - \gamma_3 - \gamma_4 - \gamma_5\} < 1$.

Next, from (2.7) and (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d(a_n, a_{n+1}) + d(b_n, b_{n+1}) \\ \leq & d(a_n, b_n) + d(b_n, a_n) + d(b_n, a_{n+1}) + d(a_n, b_{n+1}) \\ \rightarrow & 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

This testifies that $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences, thus are convergent.

As N and M be two closed subsets, $\{a_n\} \subseteq N$, and $\{b_n\} \subseteq M$, we have

$$a_n \rightarrow a \in N, b_n \rightarrow b \in M \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.9), $d(a_n, b_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thusly, from (2.11),

$$a = b. \quad (2.12)$$

Herefrom $a \in N \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

Now, by (2.1), (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & d(a, T(a, b)) + d(b, T(b, a)) \\ \leq & d(a, a_{n+1}) + d(a_{n+1}, T(a, b)) + d(b, b_{n+1}) + d(b_{n+1}, T(b, a)) \\ \leq & d(T(b_n, a_n), T(a, b)) + d(T(a_n, b_n), T(b, a)) \\ & + d(a, a_{n+1}) + d(b, b_{n+1}) \\ \leq & \gamma_1 [d(T(b_n, a_n), b_n) + d(T(a_n, b_n), a_n)] \\ & + \gamma_2 [d(T(a, b), a) + d(T(b, a), b)] \\ & + \gamma_3 [d(T(a, b), b_n) + d(T(b, a), a_n)] \\ & + \gamma_4 [d(T(b_n, a_n), a) + d(T(a_n, b_n), b)] \\ & + \gamma_5 [d(b_n, a) + d(a_n, b)] \\ & + d(a, a_{n+1}) + d(b, b_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (2.12), $T(a, a) = a$; videlicet, we own a strong coupled fixed point of T . \square

Corollary 2.1. *Let $N \neq \emptyset, M \neq \emptyset$ be two closed subsets of CMS (W, d) . Let $T : W \times W \rightarrow W$ a cyclic coupled contractive condition w.r.t. N and M if T is cyclic w.r.t. N and M satisfying, for $a, a' \in N, b, b' \in M$, the following condition*

$$d\left(T(a, b), T(b', a')\right) + d\left(T(b, a), T(a', b')\right) \leq \gamma_5 \left(d(a, b') + d(b, a')\right)$$

holds, where $\gamma_5 \in R^+$ such that $\gamma_5 < 1$ and $N \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Then T holds a strong coupled fixed point in $N \cap M$.

Proof. To verify the above corollary it suffices to get $\gamma_i = 0$, $i = \overline{1,4}$ in Theorem 2.1. \square

Remark 2.1. (i) In Berinde's coupled fixed point consequences [18] for mixed monotone mapping on partially ordered metric spaces, it is assumed that T is a cyclic coupled contractive condition w.r.t. N and M and $N \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) The previous corollary further can be used to generalize, enhance and flourish the results of [4], [19] and [20] under the same conditions.

(iii) In Theorem 2.1, we can easily see that cyclic coupled Chatterjea type contraction map and cyclic coupled Kannan type contraction map [14] is improved and extended.

Next, we furnish an illustrative example of cyclic coupled Hardy-Rogers contractive condition defined on CMS. The following instance is inspired by [14], Example 6].

Example 2.1. Let $W = \mathbb{R}$ and the metric $d : W \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ described by $\forall a, b \in W$; $d(a, b) = |a - b|$. Let $M = [0, \pi]$ and $N = [-\pi, 0]$. $N \neq \emptyset$, $M \neq \emptyset$ thereupon are closed subsets of W and $d(N, M) = 0$. Define $T : W \times W \rightarrow W$ by

$$T(a, b) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{5} \left| b \sin \frac{1}{b} \right|, & \text{if } (a, b) \in M \times N, \\ 0, & \text{if } (a, b) \in N \times M, \\ 3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\gamma_i = i/1000$, $i = \overline{1,5}$.

It is facilely to observe that overall circumstances of Theorem 2.1 are verified. Using Theorem 2.1, we may infer that T own a strong coupled fixed point on W . In the circumstances, T holds a fixed point as $(0, 0)$.

3 A different point of view on coupled Hardy-Rogers contractive condition

Next, we present the existence for coupled fixed point of Hardy-Rogers condition by using Bhaskar&Lakshmikantham's definition in CMS via G .

Let Δ be a diagonal of $W \times W$, G be a graph with no parallel edges such that the set $V(G)$ of its vertices overlaps via W , $\Delta \subseteq E(G)$, here $E(G)$

is the set of the edges of G . Nominately, G is described by $(V(G), E(G))$. Farther, express by G^{-1} derived from G by overturning the direction of the edges in G . Consequently, $E(G^{-1}) = \{(a, b) \in W \times W : (b, a) \in E(G)\}$.

Definition 3.1. [21] $T : W \times W \rightarrow W$ is edge-preserving if $(a, b') \in E(G)$, $(b, a') \in E(G^{-1})$ implies $(T(a, b), T(b', a')) \in E(G)$, $(T(b, a), T(a', b')) \in E(G^{-1})$.

Theorem 3.1. Let (W, d) be CMS via G , $F : W \times W \rightarrow W$ be edge-preserving and assume that the following features belong:

1. there exists $a_0, b_0 \in W$ such that $(a_0, T(a_0, b_0)) \in E(G)$ and $(b_0, T(b_0, a_0)) \in E(G^{-1})$;
2. W holds the below feature:
 - (i) if any sequence $\{a_n\} \subseteq W$ such that for $\forall n \in N$; $a_n \rightarrow a$, $(a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, then $\forall n \in N$; $(a_n, a) \in E(G)$,
 - (ii) if any sequence $\{b_n\} \subseteq W$ such that $\forall n \in N$; $b_n \rightarrow b$, $(b_n, b_{n+1}) \in E(G^{-1})$, then $\forall n \in N$; $(b_n, b) \in E(G^{-1})$;
3. there exists $\gamma_i, i = \overline{1, 5}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^5 \gamma_i < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & d\left(T(a, b), T(b', a')\right) + d\left(T(b, a), T(a', b')\right) \\ & \leq \gamma_1 [d(T(a, b), a) + d(T(b, a), b)] \\ & + \gamma_2 \left[d\left(T(b', a'), b'\right) + d\left(T(a', b'), a'\right) \right] \\ & + \gamma_3 \left[d\left(T(b', a'), a\right) + d\left(T(a', b'), b\right) \right] \\ & + \gamma_4 \left[d\left(T(a, b), b'\right) + d\left(T(b, a), a'\right) \right] \\ & + \gamma_5 \left[d(a, b') + d(b, a') \right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $a', b', a, b \in W$ with $(a, b') \in E(G)$, $(b, a') \in E(G^{-1})$.

Then there exist $a, b \in W$ such that $a = T(a, b)$, $b = T(b, a)$.

Proof. Let $K = W \times W$. It is facilely to infer that the map $v : K \times K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ identified by

$$v\left((a, b), (b', a')\right) = d(a, b') + d(b, a'), \quad \text{for all } (a, b), (b', a') \in K,$$

is a complete metric on K . Next, determine the map $F : K \rightarrow K$ by

$$F(a, b) = (T(a, b), T(b, a)), \quad \text{for all } (a, b) \in K.$$

Let G_K be a directed graph given by $G_K = (V(G_K), E(G_K))$, hereby $V(G_K) = K$ and

$$E(G_K) = \left\{ \left((a, b), (b', a') \right) : (a, b') \in E(G) \text{ and } (b, a') \in E(G^{-1}) \right\}.$$

Let $(a, b), (b', a') \in K$ such that $((a, b), (b', a')) \in E(G_K)$. Then, $(a, b') \in E(G)$ and $(b, a') \in E(G^{-1})$. Because T is edge-preserving, we enjoy $(T(a, b), T(b', a')) \in E(G)$, $(T(b, a), T(a', b')) \in E(G^{-1})$. Accordingly, $((T(a, b), T(b, a)), (T(b', a'), T(a', b')))) \in E(G_K)$. Thusly $(F(a, b), F(b', a')) \in E(G_K)$. Due to (1), we hold $((a_0, b_0), (T(a_0, b_0), T(b_0, a_0))) \in E(G_K)$. Thereby $((a_0, b_0), F(a_0, b_0)) \in E(G_K)$. Now, by hypothesis (3), we get for all $\alpha = (a, b)$ and $\beta = (b', a')$, $v(F(\alpha), F(\beta)) \leq \gamma_1 v(F(\alpha), \alpha) + \gamma_2 v(F(\beta), \beta) + \gamma_3 v(F(\beta), \alpha) + \gamma_4 v(F(\alpha), \beta) + \gamma_5 v(\alpha, \beta)$, for $\forall (a, b') \in E(G)$, $(b, a') \in E(G^{-1})$. Ultimately, by any sequence (a_n, b_n) in (K, v) , here if $(a_n, b_n)_{n \in N} \rightarrow (a, b)$, $((a_n, b_n), (a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}))_{n \in N} \in E(G_K)$, then $a_n \rightarrow a$, $b_n \rightarrow b$, $(a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, $(b_n, b_{n+1}) \in E(G^{-1})$. From (2), $(a_n, a) \in E(G)$, $(b_n, b) \in E(G^{-1})$, $((a_n, b_n), (a, b)) \in E(G_K)$ for $\forall n \in N$. Herewith, we can see that all states of Theorem 3.1. \square

Corollary 3.1. [[22], Theorem 4.3] *Let (W, d) be CMS via G , and let $F : W \times W \rightarrow W$ be edge-preserving and assume that the following features belong:*

1. *there exists $a_0, b_0 \in W$ such that $(a_0, T(a_0, b_0)) \in E(G)$ and $(b_0, T(b_0, a_0)) \in E(G^{-1})$;*
2. *W holds the below features:*
 - (i) *if any sequence $\{a_n\} \subseteq W$ such that for $\forall n \in N$; $a_n \rightarrow a$, $(a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, then $\forall n \in N$; $(a_n, a) \in E(G)$,*
 - (ii) *if any sequence $\{b_n\} \subseteq W$ such that for $\forall n \in N$; $b_n \rightarrow b$, $(b_n, b_{n+1}) \in E(G^{-1})$, then $\forall n \in N$; $(b_n, b) \in E(G^{-1})$,*

3. there exists γ_3, γ_4 and γ_5 with $\gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_5 < 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & d\left(T(a, b), T(b', a')\right) + d\left(T(b, a), T(a', b')\right) \\ & \leq \gamma_3 \left[d\left(T(b', a'), a\right) + d\left(T(a', b'), b\right) \right] \\ & \quad + \gamma_4 \left[d\left(T(a, b), b'\right) + d\left(T(b, a), a'\right) \right] \\ & \quad + \gamma_5 \left[d\left(a, b'\right) + d\left(b, a'\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

for $\forall a, b, a', b' \in W$ with $(a, b') \in E(G)$, $(b, a') \in E(G^{-1})$.

Then there exist $a, b \in W$ such that $T(a, b) = a$, $T(b, a) = b$.

Corollary 3.2. Let (W, d) be CMS via G , and let $F : W \times W \rightarrow W$ be edge-preserving and assume that the below features belong:

1. there exists $a_0, b_0 \in W$ such that $(a_0, T(a_0, b_0)) \in E(G)$ and $(b_0, T(b_0, a_0)) \in E(G^{-1})$;

2. W holds the below features:

(i) if any sequence $\{a_n\} \subseteq W$ such that for $\forall n \in N$; $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $(a_n, a_{n+1}) \in E(G)$, then $\forall n \in N$; $(a_n, a) \in E(G)$,

(ii) if any sequence $\{b_n\} \subseteq W$ such that for $\forall n \in N$; $b_n \rightarrow b$ and $(b_n, b_{n+1}) \in E(G^{-1})$, then $\forall n \in N$; $(b_n, b) \in E(G^{-1})$,

3. there exists $0 \leq \gamma_5 < 1$ such that

$$d\left(T(a, b), T(b', a')\right) + d\left(T(b, a), T(a', b')\right) \leq \gamma_5 \left[d\left(a, b'\right) + d\left(b, a'\right) \right]$$

for all $a, b, a', b' \in W$ with $(a, b') \in E(G)$, $(b, a') \in E(G^{-1})$.

Then there exist $a, b \in W$ such that $T(a, b) = a$, $T(b, a) = b$.

Conclusion 3.1. In this study advance, enrich and generalize some coupled fixed point consequences presented by Berinde (2011), Alaeidizaji and Parvaneh (2012), Radenović (2013), Choudhury and Maity (2014) and Khanarong and Suantai (2015). Withinside the future extent of the opinion,

reader can demonstrate some existence and uniqueness consequences for coupled coincidence point and common fixed point of the condition (2.1) endowed with a graph.

Competing interests

The author declares that she has no competing interests.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to express their sincere appreciation to the referees for their very helpful suggestions and many kind comments.

References

- [1] S. Banach, *Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux équations intégrales*, Fundam. Math. 3(1922), 133-181.
- [2] G. E. Hardy, A. D. Rogers, *A generalisation of fixed point theorem of Reich*, Canad. Math. Bull. 16(1973), 201-208.
- [3] W. A. Kirk, P. S. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, *Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions*, Fixed Point Theory. 4 (1)(2003), 79-89.
- [4] T. G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and application*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 65(2006), 1379-1393.
- [5] V. Lakshmikantham, L.B. Ćirić, *Coupled fixed point theorems for non-linear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 70(2009), 4341-4349.
- [6] N.V. Luong, N.X. Thuan, *Coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings and an application to integral equations*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 62(2011), 4238-4248.
- [7] N.V. Luong, N.X. Thuan, *Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application*, Nonlinear Anal. TMA 74(2011), 983-992.

-
- [8] H. Aydi, *Some coupled fixed point results on partial metric spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences. (2011), Article ID 647091, 11 pages, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/647091>.
- [9] V. Berinde, *Coupled fixed point theorems for ϕ -contractive mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 75(2012), 3218-3228.
- [10] B. Samet, *Coupled fixed point theorems for a generalized Meir-Keeler contraction in partially ordered metric spaces*. Nonlinear Anal. 72(2010), 4508-4517.
- [11] E. Yolacan, M. Kır, H. Kızıltunc, *An extended coupled coincidence point theorem*, Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory. 4 (1) (2016), 23-30. Doi:10.12691/tjant-4-1-5.
- [12] E. Yolacan, *Fixed point theorems for Geragthy type contractive mappings and Coupled Fixed Point Results in θ -complete ordered partial metric spaces*, International Journal of Analysis. (2016), Article ID 8947020, 5 pages.
- [13] M. Kır, E. Yolacan, H. Kızıltunc, *Coupled fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces endowed with a directed graph and application*, Open Math. 15(2017), 734–744. DOI 10.1515/math-2017-0062.
- [14] B.S. Choudhury, P. Maity, *Cyclic coupled fixed point result using Kannan Type Contractions*, Journal of Operators. (2014), Article ID 876749, 5 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/876749>.
- [15] X. Udo-utun, *Existence of strong coupled fixed points for cyclic coupled Ciric-Type mappings*, Journal of Operators. (2014), Article ID 381685, 4 pages. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/381685>.
- [16] J.G. Kadwin, M. Marudai, *Fixed point and Best Proximity point results for generalised cyclic coupled mappings*, Thai Journal of Mathematics. 14(2)(2016), 431-441.
- [17] A.H. Ansari, G.K. Jacob, M. Marudai, P. Kumam, *On the C -class functions of fixed point and best proximity point results for generalised cyclic coupled mappings*, Cogent Mathematics. (2016), 3: 1235354. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23311835.2016.1235354>.

- [18] V. Berinde, *Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces*, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74(2011), 7347-7355.
- [19] S. Radenović, *Remarks on some coupled fixed point results in partial metric spaces*, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications.* 18(1)(2013), 39-50.
- [20] H. Alaeidizaji, V. Parvaneh, *Coupled fixed point results in complete partial metric spaces*, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical sciences.* (2012), Article ID 670410, 12 pages, doi: 10.1155/2012/670410.
- [21] C. Chifu, G. Petrusel, *New results on coupled fixed point theorem in metric space endowed with a directed graph*. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2014), 151, doi: 10.1186/1687-1812-2014-151.
- [22] C. Klanarong, S. Suantai, *Coincidence point theorems for some multi-valued mappings in complete metric spaces endowed with a graph*. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2015), 129, doi: 10.1186/s13663-015-0379-1.